

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 May 2018 08:51
To: Claire McArthur
Subject: FW: WECC submission on MIR
Attachments: WECC submission on MIR re SDP.pdf

From: WECC Secretary [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 May 2018 07:21
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: WECC submission on MIR

Please find attached the Westhill & Elrick Community Council submission on the MIR for the SDP

regards

David Ritchie

Acting Secretary of Westhill & Elrick Community Council

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

w: <https://westhillelrick.org>

Contact Details

Name (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms)	Mr David Ritchie
Organisation (if relevant)	Westhill & Elrick Community Council
Address	[REDACTED]
Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	

Please tick this box if you wish further correspondence to be directed to this address:

If you are completing this form on behalf of an organisation, group or landowner, please provide their details below.

Name (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms)	
Organisation (if relevant)	
Address	
Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	

If you wish to be added to the SDPA distribution list to be kept informed of our progress in producing the next SDP, please tick this box and provide the you wish to be added to our database (if different from above):

email

Email : _____

Your Views

Please use a separate box for each issue/question you wish to respond to. If you wish to continue on a separate sheet, please attach to the paper copy or email.

Main Issue / Question Number:	1
We agree that the Vision should include social & environmental aspects, not just economic resilience	

Main Issue / Question Number:	2
We agree that the existing spatial strategy should be maintained. The potential for growth west of Aberdeen (A93 and A944) cannot be assessed until significant road improvements in the area are made and effects of the AWPR are known	

Main Issue / Question Number:	3
We agree that the SDP should protect the AWPR junctions and there should be no major developments near the junctions.	

Main Issue / Question Number:	4
We agree that regeneration should focus on the 4 largest towns on North Aberdeenshire coast. Re-instatement of a railway network to them would be good.	

Main Issue / Question Number:	5
We agree that future economic growth in the region should be achievable, provided there is significant economic diversification over the next 25 years	

Main Issue / Question Number:	6
We agree that safeguarded employment land should not be released until after 2030. The priority should be to develop brownfield sites.	

Main Issue / Question Number:	7
We agree there is scope for long-term tourist development and more focus should be put on it than there is currently.	

Main Issue / Question Number:	8
The planning system for new developments should be speeded up and more flexible	

Main Issue / Question Number:	11
Funding for affordable housing should be increased, and need to ensure developers comply with the requirements for them to supply affordable housing	

Main Issue / Question Number:	12
We agree that the LDPs should make further housing allocations, but don't understand where figure of 2190 homes in 6.23 comes from.	

Main Issue / Question Number:	14
We agree that any new greenfield allocations should only be for small developments	

Main Issue / Question Number:	16
We agree that the SDP should continue to identify long-term transport projects	