

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 May 2018 08:51
To: Claire McArthur
Subject: FW: Local Development plan
Attachments: MIR_Consultation_Form_.docx

From: Fred Park [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 May 2018 23:55
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Local Development plan

See attached.

Strategic Development Plan Review

Main Issues Report Consultation 12th March - 21st May 2018

The Aberdeen City and Shire Strategic Development Planning Authority are currently reviewing the Strategic Development Plan for the area. Our Development Plan Scheme outlines a timetable for the review of the Plan, and also identifies where there are opportunities to participate. It is available to view at:
<http://www.aberdeencityandshire-sdpa.gov.uk/DevelopmentPlan/DevelopmentPlanSchemes.aspx>

The **Main Issues Report** is the first formal stage in the review process – it describes and invites discussion on options for future policies, as well as employment and housing land targets for the next Plan. No settled view on the content of the next Strategic Development Plan has yet been reached, making the Main Issues Report the key stage for public consultation. Giving us your views will help to shape the future strategy for development and the policies by which future planning applications are determined.

You can view a copy of the Main Issues Report on our website at:

<http://www.aberdeencityandshire-sdpa.gov.uk/CurrentWork/CurrentConsultations.aspx>

Copies are also available to view at all Council Offices and Libraries within the Strategic Development Plan Area.

A series of accompanying documents, including an Interim Environmental Report, Monitoring Statement, Housing Needs and Demand Assessment and Interim Cumulative Transport Appraisal can also be viewed on our website (by following the above link).

How to Respond

The Main Issues Report contains a series of issues and questions on which we would like to hear your views. Please use this form to respond to these, or any other issues raised by the Main Issues Report or any other accompanying documents.

Consultation Responses must be received by 12pm on Monday 21st May 2018

You can make your views heard in a number of ways:

- **By Post - please return a completed version of this form to:**
Aberdeen City and Shire Strategic Development Planning Authority, Woodhill House, Westburn Road, Aberdeen, AB16 5GB
- **By Email - please return a completed version of this form to:**
team@aberdeencityandshire-sdpa.gov.uk
If you choose to fill out our online Word form, please be aware that you must download the form and save any changes before submission.

Letters and emails which do not make use of this form will also be accepted, however please make sure include your name, address, telephone number and email address (if applicable), as well as the details of anyone you are representing, if you would like us to be able to contact you with any queries on your submission.

Using your Personal Information

Information you supply to the Strategic Development Planning Authority (SDPA) by responding to this consultation will be used to prepare the next Strategic Development Plan for the area. The SDPA will not share the personal information provided in response to this consultation with other parties or organisations. The SDPA will not disclose any contact information about you to any organisation or person unless it is authorised or required to do so by law.

The SDPA Officers may use your contact details to contact you about the comments you have made. Your name and organisation may be published alongside your comments but contact details will not be made public. If you chose not to provide a name or contact details, your comments will still be valid but we will not be able to contact you in the future.

For further information on how your information is used, how the SDPA maintain the security of your information, and your rights to access information the SDPA holds about you, please contact: Claire McArthur, Acting Team Leader, Strategic Development Planning Authority, Woodhill House, Westburn Road, Aberdeen, AB16 5GB.

Contact Details

Name (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms)	Mr F A Ian Parkinson
Organisation (if relevant)	
Address	
Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	

Please tick this box if you wish further correspondence to be directed to this address: YES

If you are completing this form on behalf of an organisation, group or landowner, please provide their details below.

Name (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms)	
Organisation (if relevant)	
Address	
Postcode	
Telephone	
Email	

If you wish to be added to the SDPA distribution list to be kept informed of our progress in producing the next SDP, please tick this box and provide the you wish to be added to our database (if different from above): YES email

Email : _____

Your Views

Please use a separate box for each issue/question you wish to respond to. If you wish to continue on a separate sheet, please attach to the paper copy or email.

Main Issue / Question Number:	
<div data-bbox="295 721 1331 1012" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"><p>Question 1.</p><p>Agree Vision with major reservations regarding lack of emphasis on encouraging new industry to the area.</p><p>The vision is too limited, we have too much government for such a small area. A return to being a single region as it was for an all too brief period would save considerable money.</p></div>	

Main Issue / Question Number:	
<div data-bbox="336 1420 1313 2018" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"><p>Question 2</p><p>The existing transport problems will not be alleviated by the AWPR. Traffic is unlikely to travel 7 miles west only to turn north and then 7 miles east to get round the city, the AWPR was meant to ensure harbour traffic from the south wanting to go north did not travel through the city or use Anderson drive.</p><p>Westward expansion of the city will not help and should be discouraged.</p></div>	

Main Issue / Question Number:

Main Issue / Question Number:		
<table border="1" data-bbox="288 580 1286 667"><tr><td data-bbox="288 580 1286 667">Questions 3,4,5,6,7 – agree preferred options</td></tr></table>		Questions 3,4,5,6,7 – agree preferred options
Questions 3,4,5,6,7 – agree preferred options		

Main Issue / Question Number:

Main Issue / Question Number:

Questions 8 & 10

Growth should take place only in areas already set aside for growth. The housing market is grossly over supplied with house planning approvals, developers are unable to sell and so do not build to complete their allocations. With the unlikely return of oil to a stable high price it is unnecessary to allocate large areas of housing development away from existing industry.

Main Issue / Question Number:

Main Issue / Question Number:				
<table border="1" data-bbox="316 555 1265 779"><tr><td data-bbox="316 555 1265 622">Question 11 probably agree.</td></tr><tr><td data-bbox="316 622 1265 689">Question 12 disagree</td></tr><tr><td data-bbox="316 689 1265 779">Question 13 Prefer the alternative</td></tr></table>		Question 11 probably agree.	Question 12 disagree	Question 13 Prefer the alternative
Question 11 probably agree.				
Question 12 disagree				
Question 13 Prefer the alternative				

Main Issue / Question Number:

Main Issue / Question Number:	
	<div data-bbox="411 562 1270 685" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>Question 14</p><p>The target should be much less than 100 per annum</p></div> <div data-bbox="276 734 1291 1178" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"><p>General. The Green Belt should be sacrosanct, it provides a living lung for the city and local areas. It provides a clear and pleasant place for leisure activities and for walking and running. It helps to encourage the wildlife of the area to remain, red squirrels are returning as are Pine Martens, badgers are on the increase and many others need to be encouraged to return – curlews and oyster catchers have not been seen here for a number of years, they were previously common, the same applies for woodcock.</p></div>